

CIVIL . GEOTECHNICAL . ENVIRONMENTAL . GEOLOGY . EARTH SCIENCES

August 28, 2024

Mr. Ryan Bennett, Environmental Analyst Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. 2814 S. Golden, P.O. Box 754 Springfield, MO 65801-0754

RE: Pond 001, Cell 4 Professional Engineering Annual Inspection of CCR Impoundment

AECI PO No. TH-116945

Dear Mr. Bennett:

GREDELL Engineering Resources, Inc. (GER) conducted the annual inspection by a qualified professional engineer of Pond 001, Cell 4 at Associated Electric Cooperative's (AECI) Thomas Hill Energy Center (THEC), as required by 40 CFR 257.83 (b) to ensure that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR unit is consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering standards. Wayne Elliott, E.I., GER, under the supervision of Bruce Dawson, P.E., GER, conducted an on-site inspection of Pond 001, Cell 4 (Cell 4) on August 15, 2024. The following is the inspection report required by 40 CFR 257.83 (b) (2).

REVIEW OF AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Per 40 CFR 257.83 (b) (1), this inspection included:

(i) A review of available information regarding the status and condition of the CCR unit, including, but not limited to, files available in the operating record (e.g., CCR unit design and construction information required by §§ 257.73(c)(1) and 257.74(c)(1), previous periodic structural stability assessments required under §§ 257.73(d) and 257.74(d), the results of inspections by a qualified person, and results of previous annual inspections).

GER reviewed the following documents as part of this inspection:

- Weekly inspection reports for 2023 and 2024 provided by AECI THEC,
- "Report on Periodic Structural Stability Assessment, Pond 001 Cell 004, Thomas Hill Energy Center, Clifton Hill, Missouri" by Haley & Aldrich, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio, dated 15 October 2021, reference File No. 128064-022, and
- "Pond 001, Cell 4 Professional Engineering Annual Inspection of CCR Impoundment", dated August 28, 2023 by GER.

ON-SITE OBSERVATIONS

Per 40 CFR 257.83 (b) (1), this inspection included:

(ii) A visual inspection of the CCR unit to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures.

Mr. Ryan Bennett, Environmental Analyst August 28, 2024 Page 2 of 4

There were no visible signs of distress or malfunction of Cell 4 or its appurtenant structures at the time of this inspection.

(iii) A visual inspection of any hydraulic structures underlying the base of the CCR unit or passing through the dike of the CCR unit for structural integrity and continued safe and reliable operation.

The reinforced concrete principal spillway inlet structure of Cell 4 appeared to be intact, stable, and properly aligned. The inlet was receiving significant inflow at the time of these observations and this flow partially obscured observation of the upstream, inlet end of the principal spillway pipe. Direct observation of the principal spillway discharge pipe will require remote controlled inline camera inspection or confined space entry protocols and was not attempted during this inspection. The discharge end of the principal spillway pipe terminates at a reinforced concrete headwall and flow continues through a reinforced concrete flume with parallel sidewalls that extends immediately downstream. A small area on the natural bank just outside the north sidewall of this flume has been armored with grout, and the grout has a crack running parallel to the channel. The crack is well above normal flow elevations in the flume and has not exhibited any discernible movement or deterioration for the past seven years. These structures displayed no signs of concrete spalling or cracking that would impair structural integrity, there was no visible exposed reinforcing steel, and the structures appeared to be in functional vertical and horizontal alignment. The emergency spillway crosses the berm and top-of-berm roadway just east of the principal spillway, is armored with 8 to 12-inch rip rap on the upstream and downstream slopes and approximately 1-inch clean crushed limestone across the embankment crest and was observed to be in good condition.

Per 40 CFR 257.83 (b) (2), the following observations are noted:

(i) Any changes in geometry of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection.

There were no obvious visible changes to the impounding structure since the prior annual inspection by GER in 2023. The embankment crest and slopes were of uniform line and grade. There was no discernible sag, slumping, bulging or other geometric indications of adverse embankment or embankment foundation performance.

(ii) The location and type of existing instrumentation and the maximum recorded readings of each instrument since the previous annual inspection.

There is no instrumentation of Cell 4.

(iii) The approximate minimum, maximum, and present depth and elevation of the impounded water and CCR since the previous annual inspection.

GER is not aware of any minimum and maximum water level and CCR records for Cell 4. The water level in Cell 4 was approximately elevation 692.8 feet, NAVD 88. CCR was submerged and no indication of CCR depth could be determined except for an area of exposed CCR adjacent to the Cell 3 emergency spillway. The exposed CCR extended into the water from the shore approximately 200 feet and was

Mr. Ryan Bennett, Environmental Analyst August 28, 2024 Page 3 of 4

approximately 75 feet wide. The elevation of the material was estimated to vary from approximately elevation 695 to 696 feet, NAVD 88. The profile of the exposed CCR appeared to extend into the impounded water at a uniform gentle slope.

(iv) The storage capacity of the impounding structure at the time of the inspection.

The estimated storage volume between the observed water surface elevation (692.8 feet) and emergency spillway elevation (703 feet) is approximately 77 acre-feet.

(v) The approximate volume of the impounded water and CCR at the time of the inspection.

Based on field observations, aerial imagery, and past annual inspection reports by GER, the estimated volume of impounded water and CCR in Cell 4 is 48 acre-feet at the time of the 2024 inspection.

(vi) Any appearances of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR unit, in addition to any existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR unit and appurtenant structures.

There were no appearances of actual or potential structural weakness of the Cell 4 structures, nor any observed existing conditions disrupting or having the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of Cell 4 and its appurtenant structures.

(vii) Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection.

At the time of this inspection, there were no discernible changes which have affected the stability or operation of the Cell 4 embankments.

Per 40 CFR 257.83 (b) (5):

If a deficiency or release is identified during an inspection, the owner or operator must remedy the deficiency or release as soon as feasible and prepare documentation detailing the corrective measures taken.

No visual evidence of a deficiency or release was identified during this inspection.

GENERAL COMMENTS and RECOMMENDATIONS

Previous annual inspections have noted seepage in the open channel along the south side of Cell 4. This open channel has been cleared of cattails and other vegetation, regraded, and lined with a fabric formed liner system. Water was observed flowing along the full length of the lined open channel. No seepage was observed on the earthen slopes adjacent to the lined channel, or on the fabric formed liner above the water level in the channel. Aquatic plants were observed in the channel.

Mr. Ryan Bennett, Environmental Analyst August 28, 2024 Page 4 of 4

An apparent seepage area at the toe of the westerly embankment has been cited in most previous annual inspection reports. This inspection noted no apparent seepage in this area. No pooled water or saturated soil was observed at the toe of the westerly embankment. Ground conditions at the time of the 2024 inspection were generally moist due to recent rainfall. Weekly monitoring should continue to evaluate seepage conditions in these areas.

A small area on the natural bank just below the north sidewall of the principal spillway discharge flume has been armored with grout, and the grout has a crack running parallel to the channel. The crack is well above normal flow elevations in the channel and has not exhibited any discernible movement or deterioration for the past seven years. However, we recommend continuing to monitor this section of channel during weekly inspections.

The downstream face of the embankment steepens in the vicinity of the southwest corner of Cell 4. We understand mowing in this area has typically been performed using a boom-mounted mowing attachment. While there's currently no evidence to suggest the embankment should be flattened for safety or stability reasons, flattening the embankment in this area could facilitate more convenient mowing. It is noted that the available area to flatten the slope beyond the toe of the embankment at this location is limited by the proximity of the adjacent lined open channel and haul road beyond to the south. Detailed study would be required to evaluate the practicality of flattening the slopes by extending the toe of the embankment.

This concludes the 2024 annual inspection by a qualified professional engineer of Pond 001, Cell 4 at Associated Electric Cooperative's Thomas Hill Energy Center, as required by 40 CFR 257.83 (b). GER appreciates this opportunity to serve AECI THEC. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact metal (573) 659-9078.

8/28/24

Sincerely,

Bruce Dawson, P.E.

Principal Geotechnical Engineer, Vice President

C: Thomas R. Gredell, P.E., President
Mikel C. Carlson, R.G., Principal Geologist, Vice President
Jerret Fisher, Safety & Environmental Specialist, AECI - THEC